



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,693	03/11/2004	Eddie N. Stanton	CLPS-18789	6180
1224	7590	04/06/2006	EXAMINER	
CRUTSINGER & BOOTH 1601 ELM STREET SUITE 1950 DALLAS, TX 752014744			LEE, GILBERT Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/798,693

Applicant(s)

STANTON ET AL.

Examiner

Gilbert Y. Lee

Art Unit

3673

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-69, 75-98 and 127-131 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14, 22, 32, 45, 54, 64, 88, 98 and 129 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 15-21, 23-31, 33-44, 46-53, 55-63, 65-69, 75-87, 89-97, 127, 128, 130 and 131 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 December 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/15/04, 6/21/04</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species X (Figs. 12 and 12a) in the reply filed on 10/11/05 is acknowledged.
2. Claims 14, 22, 32, 45, 54, 64, 88, 98 and 129 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Drawings

3. Figures and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "telescoping

structures” in claims 1, “means for telescoping” in claim 33, “seat” in claim 33 and the “at least one gap” and “a lip” in claim 83 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as “amended.” If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either “Replacement Sheet” or “New Sheet” pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is

Art Unit: 3673

requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 30, 62, 63, 96, and 97 are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "the pressure-ring retaining groove" should be changed to --the pressure-ring groove--. Appropriate correction is required. Note that for the purposes of this examination, the examiner is interpreting the claims as to read "the pressure-ring groove".

7. Claims 25, 27, 57, 59, 91, and 93 are objected to because of the following informalities: the terms "O.D." and "I.D." must be written out. Appropriate correction is required. Note that for the purposes of this examination the examiner is interpreting the claims as to mean "Outer Diameter" and "Inner Diameter" respectively.

8. Claim 38 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, "a first portion" should be changed to --a first sleeve portion--. Appropriate correction is required.

9. Claims 56 and 90 are objected to because both claims depend from themselves. Appropriate correction is required. Note that for the purposes of this examination, the

Art Unit: 3673

examiner is considering claim 56 to depend from claim 55 and claim 90 to depend from claim 89.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

10. Claims 6, 11, 38, 43, 67, 69, 75, 77, and 127 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6 and 38 disclose that the telescoping structures are positioned between the first and second sleeve portions. It is unclear to the examiner as to how the telescoping structures are positioned between the sleeves when the structures are in fact the first and second sleeves as disclosed in the specification and the drawings. For the purposes of this examination, the examiner is interpreting the claims as to mean that the telescoping structures are actually a part of the sleeves and not between the sleeves.

Claim 11 and 43 discloses that the telescoping structures are positioned between the sleeve and one of the abutment rings. It is unclear to the examiner as to how the telescoping structures are positioned between the sleeve and one of the abutment rings when the structures are in fact the sleeve as disclosed in the specification and the drawings. For the purposes of this examination, the examiner is interpreting the claims

as to mean that the telescoping structures are actually a part of the sleeve and not between the sleeve and one of the abutment rings.

Claim 67 recites the limitations "the telescoping structures" in lines 1 and 2, and "the means for axially retaining" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 69 recites the limitations "the telescoping structures" in line 2, and "the means for axially retaining" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 75 recites the limitations "the telescoping structures" in line 2, and "the means for axially retaining" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 77 recites the limitations "the telescoping structures" in lines 1 and 2, and "the means for axially retaining" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 127 recites the limitations "the telescoping first and second sleeve portions" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

11. Claims 1-13, 15-21, 23, 30, 31, 33-44, 46-53, 55, 62, 63, 65-69, 75-87, 89, 96, 97, 127, 128, 130, and 131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Covert et al. (US Patent No. 5,263,682).

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claims 1 and 33, the Covert et al. reference discloses a packing cartridge (Fig. 3) for use in a packing bore (Fig. 3), wherein the packing bore has a cylindrical interior wall and a seat (Fig. 3), the packing cartridge comprising: a generally cylindrical sleeve (including the element that has interior wall 78 and the element 76); a first abutment ring (84); a second abutment ring (52); telescoping structures (Fig. 3); and a retaining ring (90). Note that the telescoping structures include the element having interior wall 78 and the element 66, in which the element having interior wall 78 is capable of telescoping.

Regarding claims 2, 34, 67, 69, 75, and 77, the Covert et al. reference discloses that the telescoping structures and the retaining ring allow for squeezing of the first and second abutment rings. Note that element 76 can be tightened (Col. 4, Lines 25-30).

Regarding claims 3, 35, 68, and 76, the Covert et al. reference discloses the telescoping structures having overlapping travel (Col. 4, Lines 23-25). Note that the packing could be crushed if element 76 is over tightened.

Regarding claims 4 and 36, the Covert et al. reference discloses a spring (74) between the first abutment ring (84) and the second abutment ring (52).

Regarding claims 5, 37, 78, and 127, the Covert et al. reference discloses the telescoping structures having overlapping travel (Col. 4, Lines 23-25).

Regarding claims 6 and 38, the Covert et al. reference, as best understood, discloses a first sleeve portion (76) and a second sleeve portion (element having interior wall 78).

Regarding claims 7 and 39, the Covert et al. reference discloses the first sleeve portion having a first sleeve portion (Fig. 3) and the second sleeve portion having a portion (Fig. 3).

Regarding claims 8 and 40, the Covert et al. reference discloses the first abutment ring (84) being connected to the first sleeve portion (76) and the second abutment ring (52) being connected to the second sleeve portion (element having interior wall 78).

Regarding claims 9, 12, 41, 44, and 82, the Covert et al. reference discloses the first abutment ring (84) being integrally formed to the first sleeve portion (76) and the second abutment ring (52) being integrally formed to the second sleeve portion (element having interior wall 78).

Regarding claims 10, 42, 66, and 131, the Covert et al. reference discloses a spacer (100) which covers the overlapping travel of the telescoping structures (Fig. 3).

Regarding claims 11 and 43, the Covert et al. reference, as best understood, discloses the telescoping structures (Fig. 3). Note that the telescoping structures include the element having interior wall 78 and the element 66, in which the element having interior wall 78 is capable of telescoping.

Regarding claims 13, 46, and 130, the Covert et al. reference discloses the retaining ring (90) comprising a resilient ring (Col. 4, Lines 33-39) **adapted to** be positioned in a groove (88).

Regarding claims 15, 47, and 79, the Covert et al. reference discloses packing (including upper element 102 and bottom element 102) between the first and second abutment rings (Fig. 3).

Regarding claims 16, 48, and 80, the Covert et al. reference discloses the packing further comprising a plurality packing elements (including upper element 102 and bottom element 102).

Regarding claims 17, 49, and 81, the Covert et al. reference discloses a packing spacer (middle element 102) positioned between the plurality of packing elements (Fig. 3).

Regarding claims 18, 50, and 84, the Covert et al. reference a structure forming a circumferential pressure-ring groove (groove formed by bore 68 and upper wall of abutment ring 52); and a pressure ring (inner element 70) positioned in the pressure-ring groove (Fig. 3), the pressure ring having smaller dimensions than the internal dimensions of the pressure-ring groove.

Regarding claims 19, 51, and 85, the Covert et al. reference discloses the pressure ring having a smaller internal diameter than the outside diameter of a plunger (20).

Regarding claims 20, 52, and 86, the Covert et al. reference discloses the pressure ring having an inwardly facing surface (Fig. 3) with a low coefficient of friction. Note that the element 70 is made of resinous material, which is known to have low coefficient of friction.

Regarding claims 21, 53, and 87, the Covert et al. reference discloses the pressure ring having a relatively thin wall thickness (Fig. 3). Note that the ring 70 gets smaller in diameter, thereby creating a thin wall.

Regarding claims 23, 55, and 89, the Covert et al. reference discloses a difference in the external dimension of the pressure ring and the internal dimension of the pressure-ring groove (Fig. 3). Note that the difference of the two dimensions is **capable of** forming a small fluid reservoir.

Regarding claims 30, 62, and 96, the Covert et al. reference discloses the structure forming the pressure-ring groove is a portion of the second abutment ring (52).

Regarding claims 31, 63, and 97, the Covert et al. reference discloses the structure forming the pressure-ring groove is a portion of the second sleeve portion (element having interior wall 78).

Regarding claim 65, the Covert et al. reference discloses a packing cartridge (Fig. 3) for use in a packing bore (Fig. 3), wherein the packing bore has a cylindrical interior wall and a seat (Fig. 3), the packing cartridge comprising: a first element (76) comprising: a first sleeve portion (Fig. 3); and a first abutment ring (84); and a second element (element having interior wall 78) comprising: a second sleeve portion (Fig. 3); and a second abutment ring (52).

Regarding claim 83, the Covert et al. reference, as best understood, discloses a gap providing a lip (Fig. 3).

Regarding claim 128, the Covert et al. reference discloses a means for axially retaining the first and second sleeve portions together (90).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claims 24-29, 56-61, and 90-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Covert et al.

Regarding claim 24-27, 56-59, and 90-93, the Covert et al. reference discloses the claimed invention substantially as claimed in claims 1, 33, and 65 except for the dimensions of the pressure ring and the pressure-ring groove. It would have been an obvious mechanical expedient to provide the Covert et al. reference with the claimed dimensions, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding claims 28, 29, 60, 61, 94, and 95, the Covert et al. reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1, 33, and 65, including the pressure ring being made of resinous material, except for the pressure ring being made of fluorocarbon. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the pressure ring of the Covert et al. reference out of fluorocarbon, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of

Art Unit: 3673

obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1955). See also *Ballas Liquidating Co. v. Allied industries of Kansas, Inc.* (DC Kans) 205 USPQ 331. Note that the pressure ring of the Covert et al. reference is made of resinous material, and it is widely known that fluorocarbon is resinous plastic (see Sieghartner US Patent No. 4,155,559, Col. 2, Lines 16-20).

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sieghartner (US Patent No. 4,155,559), Liska (US Patent No. 4,775,303), Hipple et al. (US Patent No. 5,090,087), Kosinski et al. (US Patent No. 3,232,639), Zollner (US Patent No. 4,602,791), Bassinger (US Patent No. 5,636,688), Taylor (US Patent No. 3,762,725), and Morrison (US Patent No. 4,135,546).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gilbert Y. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-5894. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia L. Engle can be reached on (571)272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia Engle
SPE
Art Unit 3673

GL
4/2/06



JONG-SUK (JAMES) LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER